Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District

To: Board of Directors

From: John Friedenbach

Date: January 9, 2017

Subject: CIP Update 2017

DISCUSSION

As you are aware, the District's comprehensive Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) was created in 2011. During the past year, staff has been updating the content of the CIP for the ten year period 2016/17 to 2025/26. Attached is the spreadsheet showing the results of this effort. The first five years are shaded light green. The second five years are shaded mustard yellow. At the Board meeting staff will provide a detailed explanation of the contents of the CIP update and answer any questions that the Board may have.

I would draw your attention to the Summary on the last page. There is a GRAND TOTAL line which represents the sum of all CIP projects by fiscal year. Following that is a line that totals the five year blocks of time. The next line is labeled MRAR which is the Maintenance Recurring Annual Repairs. The sum of the GRAND TOTAL and MRAR lines equals the Total Funding Need.

The lines below the Total Funding Need delineate specific funding sources, which were detailed for the first five year portion of the CIP update. The final line on the last page is the current level of rate funding for projects including MRAR for the current 2016/17 fiscal year. The amount is \$2,302,400. By comparing this number to the line above labeled "Rates", it can be determined if a rate increase is necessary. During the first five year window, it appears that there is sufficient rate funding to cover the projected CIP and MRAR costs combined with the anticipated grant funding.

Bartle Wells Associates is working on an update to our CIP financial plan that was contained in the 2011 CIP document. They are adjusting the funding requirements based on the updated 2016/17 to 2025/26 projections and assuming a 10% grant funding level. The original CIP assumed a 25% grant funding level. It is anticipated that some financing mechanism(s) will need to be utilized during the second five year window contained in the CIP update. Staff expects the financial plan update from Bartle Wells Associates by the February Board meeting.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff requests authorization from the Board to continue to implement the CIP as delineated on the January 2017 CIP Update.

INVENTORY		PRIORITIZAT	TION RANKING		- "	ND .	~~	, nu	- A-	- A-	, Ad	AH	- ~	AJ	AK
	Remaining			Finel Priority	Recommended Focused			COST ES	STIMATE B	BY FISCAL Y	YEAR (Cost	ts are Esca	lated)**		
Comments	Useful Life	Importance	Redundancy	Ranking	to Compile More Information (Y/N)	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/
						2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	202
F SUPPLY															
Essex maintenance crew completed replacement of log boom in June of 2014. I contacted Worthington and they said expected life of the new log boom is not less than 10-15 years with proper maintenance. That said, I put replacement every 12 yers.	2	4	4	3.3	YES 1									\$ 135,034	
Cost for 36" Cone Valve from Rodney Hunt Co 800-448-8860. Major repair, and coating of existing valve done in June 2002 (cost \$24,000)	1	4	4	3.0			1								
2014 - Quote from James-Carl Painting - Tom Shivley \$70,000. Reccomended by Pat K. for every 5 yrs.														\$ 109,313	
Quote from Big R Bridge	1	2	3	2.0											
Variable scope and cost (extent of damage given degradation due to storm events over time). Cost shown is reasonable placeholder.		N	I/A										\$ 125,059		
At some point in the near future work will be required to strengthen/retrofit the spillway walls - whether triggered by HBMWD given monitoring results, or triggered by the FERC or DSOD. Two projects are proposed as placeholders until a more definitive scope is known:1) for engineering assessment and design, or minor repairs, and 2)more significant structural repairs/improvements. This project is the first of two phases.	3	3	4	3.3											
At some point in the near future work will be required to strengthen/retrofit the spillway walls - whether triggered by HBMWD given monitoring results, or triggered by the FERC or DSOD. Two projects are proposed as placeholders until a more definitive scope is known:1) engineering assessment and design, or minor repairs, and 2)more significant structural repairs/improvements. This project is the second of the two phases.	2	3	4	3.0											
Replace hydraulic lines and system for the dam's slidegate. (work completed by HBMWD and M&M Dive).		N/A -	Done		YES 2						\$ 33,113				
	log boom in June of 2014. I contacted Worthington and they said expected life of the new log boom is not less than 10-15 years with proper maintenance. That said, I put replacement every 12 yers. Cost for 36" Cone Valve from Rodney Hunt Co 800-448-8860. Major repair, and coating of existing valve done in June 2002 (cost \$24,000) 2014 - Quote from James-Carl Painting - Tom Shivley \$70,000. Reccomended by Pat K. for every 5 yrs. Quote from Big R Bridge Variable scope and cost (extent of damage given degradation due to storm events over time). Cost shown is reasonable placeholder. At some point in the near future work will be required to strengthen/retrofit the spillway walls - whether triggered by HBMWD given monitoring results, or triggered by the FERC or DSOD. Two projects are proposed as placeholders until a more definitive scope is known:1) for engineering assessment and design, or minor repairs, and 2)more significant structural repairs/improvements. This project is the first of two phases. At some point in the near future work will be required to strengthen/retrofit the spillway walls - whether triggered by the FERC or DSOD. Two projects are proposed as placeholders until a more definitive scope is known:1) engineering assessment and design, or minor repairs, and 2)more significant structural repairs/improvements. This project is the second of the two phases. Replace hydraulic lines and system for the dam's slidegate. (work completed by HBMWD and M&M	Essex maintenance crew completed replacement of log boom in June of 2014. I contacted Worthington and they said expected life of the new log boom is not less than 10-15 years with proper maintenance. That said, I put replacement every 12 yers. Cost for 36" Cone Valve from Rodney Hunt Co 800-448-8860. Major repair, and coating of existing valve done in June 2002 (cost \$24,000) 2014 - Quote from James-Carl Painting - Tom Shivley \$70,000. Reccomended by Pat K. for every 5 yrs. Quote from Big R Bridge 1 Variable scope and cost (extent of damage given degradation due to storm events over time). Cost shown is reasonable placeholder. At some point in the near future work will be required to strengthen/retrofit the spillway walls - whether triggered by HBMWD given monitoring results, or triggered by the FERC or DSOD. Two projects are proposed as placeholders until a more definitive scope is known:1) for engineering assessment and design, or minor repairs, and 2)more significant structural repairs/improvements. This project is the first of two phases. At some point in the near future work will be required to strengthen/retrofit the spillway walls - whether triggered by HBMWD given monitoring results, or triggered by HBMWD and M&M	Comments Remaining Useful Life Importance Importance 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 4	Remaining Useful Life Importance Redundancy	Comments Remaining Importance Redundancy Final Priority Ranking	Remaining Importance Redundancy Final Priority Focused Engineering State)	Comments Remaining Useful Life Remaining Useful Life Remaining Temportrance Redundancy Found Privity Possible Department of Log December 1 (1975) and Log December 2 (197	Comments Resident Resident	COST E Remaining test like the late of th	Comments Remaising Under Life Importance Remember of Pental Points Readings (Complete Merch Life Importance Control of Pental Life Importance Control Office Notes in June of 2014. I Control text Workships Internation (NY) 2014 Enser maintenance control control of Control Office Important Internation Control Life Importance Control Control Office Important Internation Control Control Control Office Important Internation Control Con	Commercial Commercial Remaining Unifort Life Unifort	Comments Remissing Confid Life Transmissing Confid Life Transmission Trans	Comments Survivals Tourns Tourns	Communic Product Pro	Countered Product Pr

A	B A CICIEDIE	TANTENITODY		1 /	к	1	М	AB	AC	AD.	AE	AF	AG	AH	Al	AJ	AK
	ASSET	INVENTORY		PRIORITIZAT	TION RANKING	1	Recommended			COST ES	STIMATE I	BY FISCAL Y	EAR (Cos	ts are Esca	lated)**		
Assets	s and Proposed Projects	Comments	Remaining Useful Life	Importance	Redundancy	Final Priority Ranking	Focused Engineering Study to Compile More Information (Y/N)	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26
EGI	ONAL/DOMES	STIC SYSTEM - Diversion and	d Pump	ing													
	Collection Laterals	1) See Collector Wells International report, and series of engineering assessments and planning documents for Ranney lateral replacement program. Also see HBMWD July 3, 2008 memo re: CIP Development Recommendations. 2) Lead time for this project required to develop project. Includes some valve replacement and testing for water in Collector 1. If water unavailable in existing laterals in Collector 1, move to collector 1a and install new laterals. 3) Refinement of scope and cost estimate for this project required after Collector 3 lateral replacement project complete.	3	3	3	3.0	YES 3	\$ 1,920,000									
Collector 1	Pump 1-1, Worthington 350hp Model 24M440 E-2 SN 6863, Motor G.E. SN FBJ608010	Motor cleaned and dipped, 2006; Pump rebuilt from inventory 2006; 15 year lifecycle on all pumps + efficiency testing	2	3	3	2.7			\$ 206,620								
Ranney Col	Pump 1-2, Worthington 350hp Model 24M440 E-2 SN, Motor G.E. SN RWJ420007	Motor and pump rebuilt 1967;Tested ok in 05. Scheduled for pump test in 08, will schedule replacement based on pump test or planned for replacement after lateral replacement project	3	3	3	3.0				\$ 200,924							
~	Pump 1-3, Flowserve	New in 08, Flowserve 17EPH 4000 gpm capacity	2	3	3	2.7									\$237,612		
	Pump 1-4, Byron Jackson 200hp Model 20KKH, SN 390652, Motor G.E. SN: 1285068002	Motor and pump rebuilt August 1986, \$28,000.00. Tested OK in 05, scheduled for pump test in 08, will schedule replacement based on pump test	3	3	3	3.0			\$ 185,062								
	Valves and Distribution to Domestic Reservoir	Life cycle and more detailed engineer cost estimate required. Ballpark cost and represents 1/4 of total: \$4,070,725 for all collectors	3	3	3	3.0	YES 11	-						\$1,337,729			
	Paint/Galvanize Collector	FY89, cost: \$161,000 (all five collectors)	2	2	4	2.7											\$ 264,
	Surge Tank	Place holder for repairs and painting, probe replacement	2	3	4	3.0						\$ 23,000					
	Engineering	Design, Plans & Specifications, Bid CM		N	//A										\$ 88,695		
ector 2	Collection Laterals	1) Cleaned laterals, pump tested and installed new lateral valves, August 05. 2) See Collector Wells International report, and series of engineering assessments and planning documents for Ranney lateral replacement program. Also see HBMWD July 3, 2008 memo re: CIP Development Recommendations. 3) Refinement of scope and cost estimate for this project required after Collector 3 lateral replacement project complete.	3	3	3	3.0	YES 3								\$ 2,365,191		
ey Collector	Pump 2-1, Flowserve 350hp Model 20EKH 4 Stage , Motor	Pump and motor replaced in 2007	2	3	3	2.7										\$ 231,062	
Ranney	Pump 2-2, Worthington 350hp Model 24M440 E-2 SN6872, Motor G.E. SN RWJ420006	Motor rebuilt 1987, installed 2001, pump rebuilt 1987, scheduled for pump test in 08, will schedule replacement based on pump test	2	3	3	2.7							_			\$ 231,062	

	ACCET	INVENTORY		PRIORITIZAT	TION RANKING			PIO.	- ~~	. ~	04	~	1 10	AVI		I N	- AK
			Remaining			Final Priority	Recommended Focused Engineering Study			COST ES	TIMATE B	Y FISCAL Y	EAR (Cost	ts are Escal	ated)**		
Assets a	and Proposed Projects	Comments	Useful Life	Importance	Redundancy	Ranking	to Compile More Information (Y/N)	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26
	Valves and Distribution to Domestic Reservoir	Life cycle and more detailed engineer cost estimate required. Ballpark cost and represents 1/4 of total: \$4,070,725 for all collectors	3	3	3	3.0	YES 11										\$1,37
	Paint/Galvanize Collector	FY89, cost: \$161,000 (all five collectors)	2	2	4	2.7											\$ 20
		Place holder for repairs and painting, probe replacement	2	3	4	3.0						\$ 18,700					
	Engineering	Bid & CM		N	I/A												
	Collection Laterals We need the actual final cost of project.	See Collector Wells International report and series of engineering assessments and planning documents for Ranney lateral replacement program. Replace 3 laterals at Collector 3. Replacement of laterals valves already done (FY 2009-10) as well as preparation of plans and specs.	1	3	3	2.3	YES 3										
Collector 3	pump. S/N 1408NSH01908- 1. Motor- 400 HP	Pump purchased in FY-13/14. Not installed umtil March 2016 due to other higher priority work. New Pump, 316SS lube tubes, 416 SS shafts, Bronze bearings & Motor. Scheduled to be installed in March 2016	2	3	3	2.7											
Kanney Colle		New Pump, 316SS lube tubes, 416 SS shafts, Bronze bearings & Motor. Scheduled to be installed in March 2014	2	3	3	2.7											
	250 FFD US 34-4 SN	Complete new installation. Pump purchased FY 13/14 - New pump, motor, Column, 316 SS lube tubes,416 SS line shafts, bronze bearings, motor stand Purchased through Pacific Water Resources.	2	3	3	2.7											
	Domestic Peservoir	Life cycle and more detailed engineer cost estimate required. Ballpark cost and represents 1/4 of total: \$4,070,725 for all collectors	3	3	3	3.0	YES 11									\$1,414,643	
	Paint/Galvanize Collector	FY89, cost: \$161,000 (all five collectors)	2	2	4	2.7											\$ 2

	ASSET	INVENTORY		PRIORITIZAT	TION RANKING					COST	NOTE & A 1817 7	NI EIGGAI I	TEAD (C		(T) 4.4.		
		_	Remaining			Final Priority	Recommended Focused Engineering Study			COSTE	STIMATE I	BY FISCAL Y	(EAR (Cos	ts are Escal	ated)**		
Assets a	and Proposed Projects	Comments	Useful Life	Importance	Redundancy	Ranking	to Compile More Information (Y/N)	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26
	Engineering	Design, Plans & Specifications, Bid CM		N	//A												
ctor 4	Collection Laterals	1) See Collector Wells International report, and series of engineering assessments and planning documents for Ranney lateral replacement program. Also see HBMWD July 3, 2008 memo re: CIP Development Recommendations. 2) Refinement of scope and cost estimate for this project required after Collector 3 lateral replacement project complete.	3	3	3	3.0											
Collecto	Pump 4-1, Flowserve	New in 08 Flowserve 17EPH 4000 gpm capacity	2	3	3	2.7											
Ranney	Pump 4-2, Flowserve 350hp Model 20EKH 4 Stage , Motor	Pump and motor replaced in 2007	2	3	3	2.7											
	Parves and Distribution to	Life cycle and more detailed engineer cost estimate required. Ballpark cost and represents 1/4 of total: \$4,070,725 for all collectors	2	3	4	3.0	YES 11			۸							\$1,4
	Paint/Galvanize Collector	FY89, cost: \$161,000 (all five collectors)	2	2	4	2.7											\$ 2
		Place holder for repairs and painting, probe replacement	2	3	4	3.0						\$ 23,000					
r 5	Rehab vs. Decommission	Engineering study required	3	1	1	1.7	YES 12										
lecto		Depends on Engr Study Results. Ball park cost provided								\$ 21,749							\$
Collector	Panlaca Collector Door	Planned in FY 03-04 Budget. Depends on Engr. Study Results		N/A Given	status of colle	ctor						s -					
Ranney		FY89, cost: \$161,000 (all five collectors). Depends on Engr. Study Results		1971 01461	Same of colle	J. J						\$ -					
htot	al - Diversion ar	nd Pumping						\$ 1,920,000	\$ 391,681	\$ 222,674	s -	\$ 64,700	ç .	\$ 1,337,729	\$ 2,691,497	\$ 1,876,768	\$ 3,8

	ASSET	INVENTORY		PRIORITIZAT	TION RANKING					COORE	COMPANIA A MARINA	DV EIGO	WEAD (C	4 17	-4-3\&&		-04
Assets	and Proposed Projects	Comments	Remaining Useful Life	Importance	Redundancy	Final Priority Ranking	Recommended Focused Engineering Study to Compile More Information (Y/N)	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	L YEAR (Cos	ts are Escal	23/24	24/25	25/20
EGI	ONAL/DOMES	TIC SYSTEM - Water Treats	ment.														
201	Upgrade Existing system	THE STREET WHITE THE					I										
Chlorination Facility	Install CL2 system scrubber	Install a Cl2 scrubber to mitigate the hazard of CL2 Instead of installing a Hypochlorite system I propose we consider this as the best method to reduce the hazard of Cl2, vs going with Hypochlorite due to higher costs, more maintenance required, and more frequent delivery's required. DHD Need discussion with Management and Board.	1	3	2	2.0			\$ 317,249								
Chlorina	Replace Cl2 injection line, install double containment	This will replace the existing chlorine injection line between the chloring room and West End Rd. injection point and make it double contained per current requirments for new construction.	3	4	4	3.7			\$ 105,750								
	Chlorine System Upgrade to Hypochlorite	Reference March 29, 2004 report from Kennedy/Jenks Consultants. Need discussion with Management and Board.	4	4	4	4.0	YES 4										
Tank	General Maintenance and Repairs and painting	CT tank put in service in 1997. This work is for periodic major maintenance and painting (15 year cycle)	2	4	3	3.0					\$ 167,7	45					
"CL"	CT Tank Fabric Baffles	One baffle replaced in 2010 (\$16,300). Engineering study required to establish life cycle, but estimated replacement before 2018	2	4	3	3.0					\$ 95,0	55					
	TRF Filter Building - Structural Components	Structural work, of significance, on this building is not anticipated to be necessary during planning horizon (thru 2025/26). Cost shown are reasonable estimate to conduct engineering assessment or maintenance work during this planning horizon.		N	/A								\$ 59,130				
	(for filter air wash	10 yr repair cycle (\$35,000 each 75 Hp Centrifugal Multi-stage with soft start/intake and exhaust silencers, surge control)	2	4	3	3.0								\$ 48,645			S
Furbidity Reduction Facility (TRF)	TRF Filter Beds - Replace Filter Media (Anthracite)	The Maintenance Projects Plan assumes periodic partial replacement of the anthracite. At a lesser frequency, total replacement will be required. (Quantities: 450CY/12,150CF/322tons. Eff. Size=1.40-1.60mm, Uniform. Coeff=1.40 or less)	2	4	4	3.3	YES						\$ 251,876				
lity Reduction	TRF Chemical Feed Building - Structural Components	Structural work, of significance, on this building is not anticipated to be necessary during planning horizon (thru 2025/26). Cost shown are reasonable estimate to conduct engineering assessment or maintenance work during this planning horizon.	•	N/	/A									\$ 60,806			
ırbic		Replacement of 80 kW generator (assumes transfer switch, etc. remains)	2	3	4	3.0											

	ASSET	INVENTORY		PRIORITIZA'	TION RANKING												
	110041						Recommended Focused			COST ES	TIMATE B	BY FISCAL Y	EAR (Cos	ts are Escal	lated)**		
ets a	and Proposed Projects	Comments	Remaining Useful Life	Importance	Redundancy	Final Priority Ranking	Engineering Study to Compile More Information (Y/N)	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/2
	TRF Chemical Feed Systems - Secondary coagulant (Alum)	Replace pumps, drives and valves 10yr life cycle (2 pumps, pipes and controls, \$70,000) For CIP we should only fund for pump and Starter replacement. Piping and other small equipment should be done out of Maintenance contigency in MRAR.	2	4	4	3.3								\$ 21,890			
	TRF Chemical Feed Systems - Primary coagulant system #2 (Alum)	In FY 12/13 we installed 2 new Alum chemical pumps. These pumps were sized more appropriate to our lower end chemical dosages. The original system is still fully functional for high dosage delivery if needed.	2	3	3	2.7								\$ 18,242			
	TRF Chemical Feed Systems - Cationic Polymer for coagulation aid	Replace pumps, drives and valves 10yr life cycle (330 gallon tote, platform scale, metering pumps P-631 & 632, controls, \$70,000) For CIP we should only fund for pump and Starter replacement. Piping and other small equipment should be done out of Maintenance contigency in MRAR.	2	4	4	3.3				\$ 24,468							
	TRF Chemical Feed Systems - Non-ionic Polymer for Filter aid & Pre-treat filter	Replace pumps, drives and valves 10yr life cycle (120 gallon tote, scales, mixer, drum pump, 800 gal day tank Metering pumps P-641, 642,& P-652 controls) Replaced P-652 in Nov. 2013. For CIP we should only fund for pump and Starter replacement. Piping and other small equipment should be done out of Maintenance contigency in MRAR.	2	4	4	3.3				\$ 32,624							
	TRF Chemical Feed Systems - Non-ionic Polymer for Pre-treat	Rebuild pumps and valves 10yr life cycle For CIP we should only fund for pump and Starter replacement. Piping and other small equipment should be done out of Maintenance contigency in MRAR Pumps 651, 653, 654 are unused.	2	4	4	3.3											
	TRF Chemical Feed System - Sodium Hypochlorite	Replace pumps and valves 10yr life cycle (3 metering pumps, 1 recirculation pump, valves, controls, \$70,000) For CIP we should only fund for pump and Starter replacement. Piping and other small equipment should be done out of Maintenance contigency in MRAR.	2	4	4	3.3									\$ 22,511		
	TRF Chemical Feed System - Caustic System for pH control.	Currently system not in use and not likely to be required. No cost calculated at this time		N	I/A												
	TRF Plant Water System	Replace pumps and valves 10yr life cycle	2	4	4	3.3								\$ 115,531			
	TRF Washwater Recovery Basins - Chain and Flight System	Replace chain and flights, motors & gears	2	4	4	3.3										\$ 514,416	
	TRF Sludge Beds - Structural Components	Structural work, of significance, on this building is not anticipated to be necessary during planning horizon (thru 2025/26). Cost shown are reasonable estimate to conduct engineering assessment or maintenance work during this planning horizon.		N	//A											\$ 64,302	

A	ASSET	INVENTORY		PRIORITIZA	I K TION RANKING		M	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	I AH	Al	L AJ	I	AK
							Recommended Focused			COST ES	STIMATE B	BY FISCAL Y	YEAR (Cos	ts are Escal	lated)**			
Assets	and Proposed Projects	Comments	Remaining Useful Life	Importance	Redundancy	Final Priority Ranking	Engineering Study to Compile More Information (Y/N)	4 5 5 5 5	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	2	25/26
cility (TRF)	Building - Structural Components	Structural work, of significance, on this building is not anticipated to be necessary during planning horizon (thru 2025/26). Cost shown are reasonable estimate to conduct engineering assessment or maintenance work during this planning horizon.		Ŋ	Ī/A												s	66,125
eduction Fa	TRF Backwash Pumps	15-20 year life expectancy (2 ea 250 hp split case centrifugal pumps with soft start, \$105,000 each)	2	4	4	3.3									\$ 137,565		s	145,474
t - Turbidity Reduction Facility	The Rapid Mix building	Structural work, of significance, on this building is not anticipated to be necessary during planning horizon (thru 2025/26). Cost shown are reasonable estimate to conduct engineering assessment or maintenance work during this planning horizon.		N	J/A										\$ 31,265			
Regional Treatment Plant	TRF Washwater Pre- Return System (Pressure Filter)	Replacement of Pressure Filter System is not anticipated in planning horizon (through 2025/26). Costs shown are reasonable estimate to assess condition and determine replacement timeframe and/or to perform maintenance. This is for sand blasting and painting	2	3	4	3								\$ 30,403				
Regional	Keturu System	Replacement of 1 Washwater return pump was necessary in 2014. Propose we consider 10 yr life cycle	2	3	3	2.7								\$ 15,810			s	17,192
	TRF- Instrumentation Replacement	This project replaces level sensorsl,	2	4	4	3.3							\$ 118,260					
	TRF - SCADA system upgrade							s 100,000										
	TRF - Valve network upgrade	Replsace Valve operator network. Phased project	2	3	3	2.7						\$ 115,000	\$ 118,260	\$ 121,612	\$ 125,059			
	TRF - Valves		2	3	3	2.7												
Subtot	al - Water Trea	tment				1		\$100,000	\$422,998	\$57,092	\$262,800	\$115,000	\$547,524	\$432,938	\$316,399	\$578,718		\$281,691

	ASSET	INVENTORY		PRIORITIZA	TION RANKING						6067.7			**************************************			.nv	, All
			Remaining			Final Priority	Recommended Focused Engineering Study				COST ES	STIMATE E	BY FISCAL	YEAR (Cost	ts are Esca	lated)**		
Assets	s and Proposed Projects	Comments	Useful Life	Importance	Redundancy	Ranking	to Compile More		16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26
REGI	IONAL/DOMES	TIC SYSTEM - Water Storag	ge and T	Fransmis	sion													
stic	Reservair Painting	Last painted in combination with ID Reservoir in 1998 total cost for both reservoirs: \$346,149	3	3	3	3.0												
Korblex Domestic Reservoir	Replace roof and Paint entire reservoir	Due to structural review of roof in August of 2015 it was determined that roof replacement was required. It was also determined that while we had painters there it would be the right time to paint the entire reservoir.	4	4	4	4.0		s	602,000									
Korl	General Maintenance and Cleanout	(3)- Ops - Maint techs and (1) Supervisor for 10 days	2	3	4	3.0								\$ 18,922				
	General Repairs	Minor repairs and paint touch up as needed	2	3	4	3.0	YES ₆											
	Mg reservoir	Installation of this valve would make isolating parts of the system easier and reduce the need to bypass the reservoir to isolate the South feed (Eka, Arc, HCSD) and still serve the North feed (McK, BL, FB).	4	3	3	3.3		\$	30,403									
	Peninsula - Replace 15" DW line	At some point in the near future, capacity of the domestic water pipeline on the Peninsula will need to be addressed. It is currently operating very close to its maximum capacity. This project assumes an upgrade to 3.75 miles of the 15-inch pipeline. Detailed engineering study required, but the project represents a reasonable placeholder.	2	3	4	3.0	YES 8											\$ 7,009,2
	Peninsula - Replace 18" DW Techite line	Replace Techite pipeline (1.87 miles) at southern end of Samoa Peninsula. District applied for and should receive FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant (which will fund 75% of the project).	3	3	4	3.3	YES,											
	over Mad River	Completed temporary trestle repair in 2009. Pipeline will be difficult to maintain & repair if trestle is not replaced within 5 years.		N/A	- Done		YES 10											
Appurtenances	Replace pipeline on NCRA Trestle over Mad River (Blue Lake-FG-CSD River Crossing)	Must replace current pipeline crossing over Mad River (or fix RR bridge). Cost based on replacement with new aerial crossing per W&K feasibility report (May 2009). District has applied for Prop. 84 grant via Northcoast IRWMP and for a FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant.	3	3	4	3.3	YES 10			\$ 1,786,729	\$ 1,786,729							
and	Peninsula Slough Crossing (Double Pipeline)	Piling structure replaced in 2003, including upgrade to current seismic standards. Likely will not need replacement until 2030's or 2040's		N/A	- Done													
System Pipelines	Peninsula Slough Crossing (Single Pipeline)	Board policy/business decision required re: Industrial Water System. If asset is to be maintained, need engineering estimate re: condition and cost		N	I/ A		YES 15											
sion Sy	Study	This Engineering study will support Pipeline replacement project below by determining area of greatest need.		N	//A					\$ 211,499								

^	ASSET	INVENTORY		PRIORITIZAT	TION RANKING		М	AB	ıac			AF TOTOGOAT S	AG AG	AH	Al	L		AK
Assets 2	and Proposed Projects	Comments	Remaining Useful Life	Importance	Redundancy	Final Priority Ranking	Recommended Focused Engineering Study to Compile More Information (Y/N)	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	BY FISCAL Y	21/22	ets are Esca	23/24	24/25		25/26
Transm	Pipeline Replacement Program (system wide)	Timing and extent yet to be determined. Establish monitoring program to assess condition and determine when programmatic replacements is necessary. This will be a very costly program over time.	1	3	3	2							Ass	sess Condition and	Develop Plan to I	Programmatically	Replace	
	Mainline Valve Replacement Program	Engineering study required to determine life cycle and detailed cost estimate. This represents ballpark costs spread out over 10 years for programmatic replacement of mainline valve.	2	3	4	3.0		\$ 100,000	\$ 187,588	\$ 192,906	\$ 198,374	\$ 203,997	\$ 209,780	\$ 215,726	\$ 221,841	\$ 228,130	\$	234,59
	Valve Box 1	This is for structural repairs of the vault itself. Valve replacement is included in Mainline Valve Replacement Project (above)	2	2	1	1.7		ži.					\$ 59,130					
	Valve Box 2	This is for structural repairs of the vault itself. Valve replacement is included in Mainline Valve Replacement Project (above)	2	2	1	1.7											s	66,12
Samoa	General Building & Fence Repairs	148 tons asphalt overlay, re-roof, 3 roof hatches replaced, 500ft of fence and 3 double wide gates replaced, assumed 30 yr life	2	2	1	1.7												\$99,18
m - Stat	Samoa Booster Station - Replace 100 hp Pump & Motor	Installed March 1996 (Floway SN: 21620-1-1, Motor G.E. SN L405TP16). Evaluate in 12 years given 15-20 yr life expectancy	2	3	3	2.7						\$ 78,200						
Transmission Syste Booster Pump S	Samoa Booster Station - Replace 200 hp Pump & Motor	Installed March 1996 (Floway SN: 21620-3-1, Motor G.E. SN L447TP16). Does not run as frequently as 100 hp pump. Evaluate in 12 years given 15-20 yr life expectancy	2	3	1	2.0									\$ 115,054			
tion	Jackson Ranch Anode Bed	Approximately \$115,000 in construction and engineering costs per well assuming each well is constructed individually. Includes mobilization, abandonment, drilling, replacement, labor and engineering services.	2	3	l	2.0	YES			\$ 130,497								
ystem - Cathodi	299 Anode Bed	Approximately \$115,000 in construction and engineering costs per well assuming each well is constructed individually. Includes mobilization, abandonment, drilling, replacement, labor and engineering services.	2	3	1	2.0	YES				\$ 134,196							

	A	В	C		J	К	L	M	AB	AC	AD AD	AE	AF	AG	AH	Al	AJ	AK
1		ASSET	INVENTORY		PRIORITIZAT	TION RANKING					COST ES		V EICCAL X	ZEAD (C		_41\&&		-
2				Remaining			Final Priority	Recommended Focused Engineering Study			COSTES	STIMATE B	Y FISCAL Y	LAR (COS)	s are Escai	atea)**		
3	Assets a	nd Proposed Projects	Comments	Useful Life	Importance	Redundancy	Ranking	to Compile More Information (Y/N)	1.614.00	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26
	Transmission 5	Jane's Creek Anode Bed	Approximately \$115,000 in construction and engineering costs per well assuming each well is constructed individually. Includes mobilization, abandonment, drilling, replacement, labor and engineering services.	2	3	1	2.0	YES					\$ 138,000					
Su	ıbtot	al - Water Stora	nge and Transmission						s 732,403	\$ 2,185,817	\$ 2,110,132	\$ 332,570	\$ 420,197	\$ 287,831	\$ 215,726	\$ 336,895	\$ 228,130	\$ 7,409,124

^_	ASSET	INVENTORY		PRIORITIZAT	TION RANKING			GN.	AV.				ZEAD (C	, NI	1 4 1544	, AU	I AN
			Bamaining			Final Priority	Recommended Focused			COSTES	STIMATE E	BY FISCAL Y	EAR (Cos	ts are Esca	lated)**		
Assets	and Proposed Projects	Comments	Remaining Useful Life	Importance	Redundancy	Ranking	Engineering Study to Compile More Information (Y/N)	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26
ÆGI	ONAL/DOMES	TIC SYSTEM - Support Syst	ems														
	Distribution System	Replace poles, wire, and cross arms. MOVED TO AN ANNUAL MAINTENANCE ITEM SEE MRAR	2	3	4	3.0	YES 13					s -					
#	Starters	Replace Domestic pump starters (last project 2007- 09, \$116,000)	2	3	3	2.7				\$ 135,934			\$ 147,824			\$ 160,755	
Equipment	2MW Generator	Replace Generator (Reference July 20, 2009 Report - Essex High Voltage System Condition Assessment Report)	2	3	3	2.7											\$ 595,1
ms and E	Switchgear for 2MW Generator	Replace Switchgear (Reference July 20, 2009 Report - Essex High Voltage System Condition Assessment Report)	2	3	3	2.7											\$ 343,8
Electrical Systems and	Transformer for 2 MW Generator 2,500 KVA	Replace Transformers (Reference July 20, 2009 Report - Essex High Voltage System Condition Assessment Report)	2	3	3	2.7											\$ 79,3
etric	35 KW Generator		2	2	2	2.0										\$ 45,011	
Ele	Main switch gear (incoming)	Replace Incoming Switchgear (Reference July 20, 2009 Report - Essex High Voltage System Condition Assessment Report)	2	3	4	3.0						\$ 1,800,000					
	Replace 12kV Transformers on DW Collectors	Replace Collector Transformers (Reference July 20, 2009 Report - Essex High Voltage System Condition Assessment Report)	3	2	4	3.0	YES 14		s 105,750		\$ 111,830						
ns and	Collector Telemetry Upgrade	This project was last completed in FY 14/15. This project put wireless communications from collectors 2,3 & 4 to collector 1. From Collector 1 the information gets to the control center via a fibre optic link.	2	2	4	2.7											
ications ntrol	Fibre Opric link to Collector 1	This link was installed in FY 14/15. Assume 20 yr life.	1	3	3	2.3											
Communicat Contr	Fiber Optic Link to Collector 2	rnis project consists of engineering, permitting & construction of an underground 12KV electrical feed and new fiber optic cable from Essex to Collector 2.	3	3	3	3.0						s 115,000					
Col	Essex Control System Upgrade Phase 1	FY87, cost: \$118,000 Planning phase	3	3	3	3.0											
	Essex Control System Upgrade Phase 2	Construction phase	4	4	4	4.0		\$ 381,000									
ø,	Construct Sandblasting Building	Assume 20'x40' and \$100/SF	2	2	2	2.0				\$ 81,560							
acilities	Build Maintenance Shop Addition	Assume 75'x40' and \$150/SF	2	2	1	1.7									\$ 562,765		

		INVENTORY		PRIORITIZAT	ION RANKING					COSTES		V EICOAT V	TEAD (Co.	4 T 1	-4-J\ & &		
	and Duamaned Dunicate	Community	Remaining	T	Dedonder	Final Priority	Recommended Focused Engineering Study			COSTES	IIWIAIE B	Y FISCAL Y	EAR (Cost	ts are Escal	ated)**		L
ssets a	ina Proposea Projects	Comments	Useful Life	Importance	Redundancy	Ranking	to Compile More		17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26
ıldıng l	Contract of Tribits	Assumes two storey, 40'x60' EOC w/ Line Shed below at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", GHD Aug. 2016)	1	2	2	1.7							\$ 1,655,633				
pa	Build Break Rm and Training Center Addition		3	3	2	2.7				\$ 489,362							
otota	al - Support Sys	tems						\$ 381,000	\$ 105,750	\$ 706,857	\$ 111,830	\$ 1,915,000	\$ 1,803,458	<u>s</u> -	\$ 562,765	\$ 205,766	\$ 1,018,320
TA]	L - REGIONAL	/DOMESTIC SYSTEM						\$ 3,133,403	\$ 3,106,246	\$ 3,096,754	\$ 707,199	\$ 2,514,896	\$ 2,671,926	\$ 1,986,393	\$ 4,032,616	\$ 3,133,729	\$ 12,597,522
	otot	Build Break Rm and Training Center Addition	Assumes two storey, 40'x60' EOC w/ Line Shed below at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", GHD Aug. 2016) Build Break Rm and	Emergency Operations Center at TRF Assumes two storey, 40'x60' EOC w/ Line Shed below at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", GHD Aug. 2016) Build Break Rm and Training Center Addition 3 Assumes two storey, 40'x60' EOC w/ Line Shed below at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", GHD Aug. 2016)	Emergency Operations Center at TRF Assumes two storey, 40'x60' EOC w/ Line Shed below at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", GHD Aug. 2016) Build Break Rm and Training Center Addition 3 3 4 Assumes two storey, 40'x60' EOC w/ Line Shed below at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", GHD Aug. 2016) 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 9 8 8 8 8	Emergency Operations Center at TRF Assumes two storey, 40'x60' EOC w/ Line Shed below at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", GHD Aug. 2016) Build Break Rm and Training Center Addition 3 3 2 Assumes two storey, 40'x60' EOC w/ Line Shed below at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", 3 3 2	Emergency Operations Center at TRF Assumes two storey, 40'x60' EOC w/ Line Shed below at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", GHD Aug. 2016) Build Break Rm and Training Center Addition 3 3 2 2.7	Emergency Operations Center at TRF Build Break Rm and Training Center Addition Assumes two storey, 40'x60' EOC w/ Line Shed below at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", GHD Aug. 2016) 10	Sets and Proposed Projects Comments Useful Life Importance Realmany Ranking to Compile More Information (Y/N) 16/17 Emergency Operations Center at TRF Assumes two storey, 40'x60' EOC w/ Line Shed below at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", GHD Aug. 2016) Build Break Rm and Training Center Addition 3 3 3 2 2.7 Stotal - Support Systems	Emergency Operations Center at TRF Build Break Rm and Training Center Addition Assumes two storey, 40'x60' EOC w/ Line Shed below at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", GHD Aug. 2016) Assumes two storey, 40'x60' EOC w/ Line Shed below at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", GHD Aug. 2016) 1 2 2 1.7 Assumes two storey, 40'x60' EOC w/ Line Shed below at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", GHD Aug. 2016) 2 2 1.7 Assumes two storey, 40'x60' EOC w/ Line Shed below at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", GHD Aug. 2016) 3 3 2 2.7 Atotal - Support Systems	Emergency Operations Center at TRF Build Break Rm and Training Center Addition Assumes two storey, 40'x60' EOC w/ Line Shed below at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", GHD Aug. 2016) Assumes two storey, 40'x60' EOC w/ Line Shed below at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", GHD Aug. 2016) 1 2 2 1.7 S 489,362 Assumes two storey, 40'x60' EOC w/ Line Shed below at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", GHD Aug. 2016) S 489,362	Emergency Operations Center at TRF Center at TRF Build Break Rm and Training Center Addition Support Systems Comments Useful Life Compile More Information (Y/N) 16/17 17/18 18/19 19/20 10/20	Emergency Operations Center at TRF Emergency Operations of TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", 1 2 2 1.7	Emergency Operations Comments Useful Life Importance Vertical Life Vertical Life	Emergency Operations Committee Commi	Emergency Operations Center at TRF (see Option 3, "Essex Control Facilities Plan", GHD Aug. 2016) 3 3 2 2.7	Useful Life Useful Life

	ASSET	INVENTORY		PRIORIT	IZATION RAN	KING					G03==	Omes 5							
Assets and Proposed Projects Comments						Recommended Focused	COST ESTIMATE BY FISCAL YEAR (Costs are Escalated)**												
		Comments	Remaining Useful Life	lmportau	ce Redun	dancy Final Priorit Ranking	Engineering Study to Compile More Information (Y/N)	16/17	17/1	18	18/19	19	9/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26
YDI	RO-ELECTRIC	POWER PLANT																	
	Ruth Hydro Sump Pump Replacement	Replaced in 1999, Replacement cycle 15 years. Barnes Submersible non-clog pump model 4SE5094L																	
	Transformer, Oil Filled 2000 KVA	Need maintenance/repairs schedule/life cycle					YES 2							\$ 161,838					
	2 1048 KW horizontal shaft Francis turbines (Boving)	Need maintenance/repairs schedule/life cycle					YES 2												
	2000 KVA Transformers											s	61,217						
	2, 1000 KW AC Generators, Brushless, (Ideal)	Need maintenance/repairs schedule/life cycle					YES 2							393,455					
nt	Replace Electrical Mechanical Components	Estimated cost of \$800,000 - \$1,200,000 based on GEI Report Assessment of Mechanical and Electrical Gosselin Hydro June 2005 (line 20 -25 included in this estimate) See CIP Development Recommendations dated July 3, 2008																	
Hydro-Electric Plant	Replace Protective relays system			N/A, discretionary projects which must be evaluated based on economics and policy factors.					S	40,000	\$ 120,000	D							
Hydro	Hydraulic systems, governors, controls, electric panels, circuit breaker, Auto Synchronizer	Need maintenance/repairs schedule/life cycle															\$ 230,679		
	Interrupter switchgear panel, Westinghouse, (located outside next to transformer) 600 amp	Need maintenance/repairs schedule/life cycle, \$18,000										s	27,548						
	Static Exciter																	s 153,044	
	30 KW generator											S	31,740						
	Battery Bank Replacement																		
	Dedicated Hydro Study	Need input for project scope and cost																	

_ A	1 B	c c	1	J	1	_T	М М	AB	AC	AD AD		AE	AF	AG	AH AH	I A	AJ AJ	I AK
	ASSET	INVENTORY		PRIORITIZA	ATION RANKING	G	Recommended			COST	EST	IMATE B	Y FISCAL	YEAR (Cos	ts are Escal	ated)**		
Assets	and Proposed Projects	Comments	Remaining Importance Redundancy Final Priority Ranking		Focused Engineering Study to Compile More Information (Y/N)	16118	17/18	18/19		19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26		
INDU	STRIAL SYSTI	EM - Water Storage and Tran	smissio	n														
	Reservoir Painting	Painted 1998								\$ 27	1,868							
Terminal Industrial Reservoir	General Maintenance and Cleanout	Cleanout in 1997, \$16,733 Realignment of the boiler ash piles may affect the area we have used for depositing past clean out spoils. This could force us to look into a more expensive disposal alternative. FY01. Cleaned in 2007, \$23,000, approximately 5 year cycle								\$ 3	3,286				\$38,279			
	General Repairs	Roof Beam Replacement FY88, cost: \$110,000	N/A Gi	N/A Given status of industrial system.	of industria	al system.				\$ 27	1,868							
e i	Engineering Analysis				-	YES 19												
Surge Tower	General Repairs, replace	Engineering Analysis Required. All part costs provided for dismantling only.				YES 19				s	960,000							
Transmission Pipelines	42" RW pipeline TV inspection	FY92 cost: \$18,500, Inspection required to estimate repairs and costs												\$118,260				
Subtot	tal - Industrial S	storage and Transmission						s -	s	- S 57	7,022 \$	960,000	\$	- S 118,260	\$ 38,279	s -	s -	s
		EM - Diversion and Pumping					1 1											
	Pump 6-1, Worthington 700hp, Model 28hh1200 3	Pump rebuilt in 1983, scheduled for pump test in 07														\$ 392,149		
	Pump 6-2, Worthington 700hp, Model 28hh1200 3 stage	Pump rebuilt in 1988, scheduled for pump test in 07		N/A Given status of industrial system.												\$ 414,696		
Pump Station 6	Pump 6-3, Byron Jackson, 200hpSN: 95WC0014 16.7% below pump curve, repair now according to 05 Flowserve pump tests	Installed 12-6-95, scheduled for line shaft bearings 08, will schedule replacement based on pump test	N/A Gi														312,647	
Pun	Pump 6-4, Worthington 700hp, Model 28hh1200 3 stage	Pump rebuild 1989 will schedule replacement based on pump test															\$ 426,451	

T A	В	E		J	.I. *	I t	I M	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	I AH	Ai	AJ AJ	T.	AK
	ASSET	INVENTORY		PRIORITIZAT	TION RANKING					COST DO		W EICCAL X	TEAD (Com	4 El	-4-3\44	XX		
Assets a	and Proposed Projects	Comments	Remaining Useful Life	Importance	Redundancy	Final Priority Ranking	Recommended Facused Engineering Study to Compile More Information (Y/N	4.648	17/18	18/19	19/20	SY FISCAL Y	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	2	25/26
	Pump 6-5, Worthington 700hp, Model 28hh1200 3 stage	Pump rebuilt in 1986, Tension bearing installed in 08, will schedule replacement based on pump test														s 426,451		
		Installed 12-6-95, will schedule replacement based on pump test														\$ 312,647		
	Fore bay Inlet Screens and Debris Rake	10 year life cycle															s	20,22
Station 6	Rock Weir Extension	Channel work and construction of gravel berm (per Corps & DFG permits and HCP) may ensure flow to Station 6 during low-flow season for years without building new river structures. Cost provided is ballpark figure including engineering, permitting and construction for new jetty structure.	N/A Gi	ven status o	of industrial	system.											s	750,708
du	Traveling Water Screen Repair	Chain and Tensioner replacement FY 93, cost: \$75,000														\$ 184,526		
	Structure Replacement	Project started as painting of existing "super structure." Engineering study determined replacement more cost effective. Project planned for FY 2008/09. Kernan Construction Bid was \$415,000 in 2008. Project cancelled when Evergreen Pulp mill ceased operation.											s 505,617					
Subtot	al - Industrial D	iversion and Pumping						s -	s -	s -	s -	s -	\$ 505,617	s	\$ 806,845	\$ 1,662,724	\$	770,929
	L - INDUSTRIA							s -	s .	\$ 577,022	\$ 960,000	s -	\$ 623,876	\$ 38,279	\$ 806,845	\$ 1,662,724	s	770,929

ASSET INVENTORY			PRIORITIZATION RANK		TION RANKING					COCET	CONTR	# A (B) E) E)		7E A D 76		TN A.A.			
							Recommended Focused			COST ES	STIN	AATE B	Y FISCAL Y	EAR (Cos	ts are Escal	ated)**			
Assets and Proposed Projects		Comments	Remaining Useful Life	Importance	Redundancy Final Priority Ranking		Engineering Study to Compile More Information (Y/N)	16/15	17/18	18/19		19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25		25/26
GRAND TOTAL*								\$ 3,133,403	\$ 3,146,246	\$ 3,793,776	5 \$	1,787,705	\$ 3,070,188	\$ 3,328,915	\$ 2,024,672	\$ 5,195,199	\$ 5,193,843	s	13,368,45
		Total Costs FY11/12 - FY15/16				2011-2016 Tot	al												
		Total Costs FY16/17 - FY20/21				2017-2021 Tot	al	s					14,931,318	_					
		Total Costs in 5 FY blocks			Total	Costs in 5 FY	hlocks				T			s					29,111,08
		Total Costs in 3 FT blocks				COSESTROTA	DIOCIE							-				Г	2>,111,00
*Notes: I	Includes all Regional/Domest	tic, Hydro-electric and Industrial Project Costs.			MRAR Am	ounts		\$ 535,200	\$ 406,683	\$ 336,822	\$	353,604	\$ 406,571	\$ 486,171	\$ 405,301	\$ 385,904	\$ 580,992	\$	594,25
Leger	nd				Total Fundi	ng Need		\$ 3,668,603	\$ 3,552,930	\$ 4,130,599	\$ 2	2,141,309	\$ 3,476,759	\$ 3,815,086	\$ 2,429,973	\$ 5,581,104	\$ 5,774,835	\$ 1	13,962,70
	: Complete 2011/12 throu	gh 2015/16			Funding Sou	rce:													
	Toomprote 2011/12 throw	B. EVIOLE	1		T unung Dou	Advance C	harges	(538,000)											
	: Complete 2016/17 throug	zh 2020/21	1			Grants		(666,000)	(1,786,729)	(1,786,729)	0	(720,000)	(1,350,000)						
		,				Reserves		- (000,000)	-	-	1	-	-						
	: Complete 2021/22 throug	zh 2025/26				Rates		(2,302,403)	(1,604,001)	(2,181,670)) (1,259,109)	(1,964,559)						
	· Complete south se through					Financing		(162,200)	(162,200)	(162,200)	,	(162,200)	(162,200)	(162,200)					
	: Need Cost Data or Engin	eering Study				1 mancing			\$ (102,200)	\$ -	\$		\$ -		\$ 2,429,973	\$ 5.581.104	\$ 5,774,835	\$ 1	13.962.70
										1				7 1,000,000	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
White	:1) Projects that will reocc	eur beyond planning horizon (2025/26) or			Rate Fundir	ng FY 2016	17	2,302,400	2,302,400	2,302,400		2,302,400	2,302,400	2,302,400	2,302,400	2,302,400	2,302,400		2,302,40
	:2) Projects that are not co	urrently required.																	
Priority R																			
< 2 yrs	g Useful Life = 4																	-	
< 5 yrs =	= 3																		
Operating 1	below efficiency or recommend	led life + 3																	
5 - 20 yrs = > 20 yrs =																			
j																			
Important	nce nreat to public health or internal	an fatry company - A																	
	regulatory requirements = 4	safety concern = 4									-								
	public health or safety concern =	3																	
Increase se	ervice reliability or capacity = 3																		
Increase re	eliability or capacity = 3																		
Improve sy	ystem operations and/or mainter e nice to do = 1	ance (O&M) = 2																-	
Redundan	e nice to do = 1																	-	
System can	n not function without Asset = 4												= =						
System can	n have limited functioning with	out Asset = 3																	
System req	quires asset for Emergency Oper	rations = 2																	
System can	n function without Asset = 1	ful Life, Importance, and Redundancy																	